Automatic Differentiation

Gabriel Peyré



https://mathematical-tours.github.io



Automatic Differentiation

Setup: $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ computable in K operations. *Hypothesis:* elementary operations $(a \times b, \log(a), \sqrt{a} \dots)$ and their derivatives cost O(1).

Question: What is the complexity of computing $\nabla f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$?

Automatic Differentiation

Setup: $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ computable in K operations. *Hypothesis:* elementary operations $(a \times b, \log(a), \sqrt{a} \dots)$ and their derivatives cost O(1).

Question: What is the complexity of computing $\nabla f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$?

Finite differences:

$$\nabla f(x) \approx \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f(x + \varepsilon \delta_1) - f(x), \dots f(x + \varepsilon \delta_n) - f(x))$$

K(n+1) operations, intractable for large n.

Automatic Differentiation

Setup: $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ computable in K operations. *Hypothesis:* elementary operations $(a \times b, \log(a), \sqrt{a} \dots)$ and their derivatives cost O(1).

Question: What is the complexity of computing $\nabla f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$?

Finite differences: $\nabla f(x) \approx \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f(x + \varepsilon \delta_1) - f(x), \dots f(x + \varepsilon \delta_n) - f(x))$ K(n+1) operations, intractable for large n.

Theorem: there is an algorithm to compute ∇f in O(K) operations. [Seppo Linnainmaa, 1970]

This algorithm is reverse mode automatic differentiation \rightarrow it is not numerical calculus (exact computations). \rightarrow it is not formal calculus (algorithms matter).



Python Libraries

Or PyTorch





Dual number associated to $(x, x') \in \mathbb{R}^2$: $x + \varepsilon x'$ with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$.

In particular: $(x + \varepsilon x')(y + \varepsilon y') = xy + \varepsilon (xy' + yx').$ $\frac{1}{x + \varepsilon x'} = \frac{1}{x} - \varepsilon \frac{x'}{x^2}$

Dual number associated to $(x, x') \in \mathbb{R}^2$: $x + \varepsilon x'$ with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$.

In particular:
$$(x + \varepsilon x')(y + \varepsilon y') = xy + \varepsilon (xy' + yx').$$

$$\frac{1}{x + \varepsilon x'} = \frac{1}{x} - \varepsilon \frac{x'}{x^2}$$

Proposition: if P is a polynomial, $P(x + \varepsilon) = P(x) + \varepsilon P'(x)$.

Dual number associated to $(x, x') \in \mathbb{R}^2$: $x + \varepsilon x'$ with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$.

In particular:
$$(x + \varepsilon x')(y + \varepsilon y') = xy + \varepsilon (xy' + yx').$$

$$\frac{1}{x + \varepsilon x'} = \frac{1}{x} - \varepsilon \frac{x'}{x^2}$$

Proposition: if P is a polynomial, $P(x + \varepsilon) = P(x) + \varepsilon P'(x)$.

Function overloading: $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x + \varepsilon x') \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} f(x) + \varepsilon f'(x)x'$.

Example: $\cos(x + \varepsilon x') = \cos(x) - \varepsilon x' \sin(x)$.

Proposition: $(f \circ g)(x + \varepsilon) = f(g(x)) + \varepsilon f'(g(x))g'(x)$

Dual number associated to $(x, x') \in \mathbb{R}^2$: $x + \varepsilon x'$ with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$.

In particular:
$$(x + \varepsilon x')(y + \varepsilon y') = xy + \varepsilon (xy' + yx').$$

$$\frac{1}{x + \varepsilon x'} = \frac{1}{x} - \varepsilon \frac{x'}{x^2}$$

Proposition: if P is a polynomial, $P(x + \varepsilon) = P(x) + \varepsilon P'(x)$.

Function overloading:
$$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x + \varepsilon x') \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} f(x) + \varepsilon f'(x)x'$$
.

Example: $\cos(x + \varepsilon x') = \cos(x) - \varepsilon x' \sin(x)$.

Proposition: $(f \circ g)(x + \varepsilon) = f(g(x)) + \varepsilon f'(g(x))g'(x)$

Higher dimension: $f(x_1 + \varepsilon, x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x)$ \rightarrow complexity scales like $O(Kn) \sim$ finite differences.

Computational Graph



Computer program \Leftrightarrow directed acyclic graph \Leftrightarrow linear ordering of nodes $(x_k)_k$

function
$$x_t = f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$$

for $k = s + 1, \dots, t$
 $| x_k = f_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$
return x_t

Computational Graph



Computer program \Leftrightarrow directed acyclic graph \Leftrightarrow linear ordering of nodes $(x_k)_k$

function
$$x_t = f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$$

for $k = s + 1, \dots, t$
 $| x_k = f_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$
return x_t
 $f(x, y) = y \log(x) + \sqrt{y \log(x)}$
 $f_3 \log(x)$
 $f_4 = y_a$
computing f

Forward Chain Rule





Forward Chain Rule

$$\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_1} = \underbrace{\overset{\mathbf{44}}{\sum}_{\ell \in \text{parent}(k)} \left[\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_\ell} \right] \times \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_1}^{\mathbf{77}} \\ = \sum_{\ell \in \text{parent}(k)} \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_\ell} (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \times \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_1} \\ \text{function } \underbrace{x_t}{\sum} = f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \times \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_1} \\ \text{function } \underbrace{\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_\ell} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} (x_1, \dots, x_s)}_{\text{for } k = s + 1, \dots, t} \\ \text{for } k = s + 1, \dots, t \\ \mid x_k = f_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \\ \text{return } \underbrace{x_t} \\ \text{for } k = s + 1, \dots, t \\ \mid \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_1} = \sum_{\ell \in \text{parent}(k)} \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_\ell} (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \times \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_1} \\ \text{return } \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_1} = \sum_{\ell \in \text{parent}(k)} \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_\ell} (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \times \frac{\partial x_\ell}{\partial x_1} \\ \text{return } \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_1} \\ \text{return } \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_1} \\ \text{for } k = s + 1, \dots, t \\$$

Forward Chain Rule

Assuming $\begin{cases} |\operatorname{parent}(k)| = O(1), \\ n_k = O(1) & \rightarrow \text{ Complexity: } O(K \sum_{k=1}^s n_k) \sim \text{ finite differences.} \end{cases}$









 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$

$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0$	
$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\right] \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x}$	$\{x \mapsto a = \log(x)\}$
$\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}\right] \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial y}\right] \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = y \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + a \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$	$\{(y,a)\mapsto b=ya\}$



 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$

$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0$	
$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\right] \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x}$	$\{x \mapsto a = \log(x)\}$
$\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}\right] \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial y}\right] \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = y \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + a \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$	$\{(y,a)\mapsto b=ya\}$
$\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial c}{\partial b}\right] \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}$	$\{b\mapsto c=\sqrt{b}\}$





 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$

$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0$	
$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\right] \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x}$	$\{x \mapsto a = \log(x)\}$
$\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}\right] \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial y}\right] \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = y \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + a \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$	$\{(y,a)\mapsto b=ya\}$
$\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial c}{\partial b}\right] \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}$	$\{b\mapsto c=\sqrt{b}\}$
$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial b}\right] \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial c}\right] \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = 1\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + 1\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$	$\{(b,c)\mapsto f=b+c\}$

$f(x, y) = y \log(x) + \sqrt{y \log(x)}$					
x y $a = b = c = \sqrt{f} = b + c$					
$rac{\partial f}{\partial x}$		$rac{\partial f}{\partial y}$			
$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0$		$\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = 0, \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} = 1$			
$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\right] \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x}$	$\{x \mapsto a = \log(x)\}$	$\frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = \left[\frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\right] \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = 0$	$\{x \mapsto a = \log(x)\}$		
$\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}\right] \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial y}\right] \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = y \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + a \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$	$\{(y,a)\mapsto b=ya\}$	$\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial a}\right] \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial y}\right] \frac{\partial y}{\partial y}$	$\{(y,a)\mapsto b=ya\}$		
$\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial c}{\partial b}\right] \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}$	$\{b\mapsto c=\sqrt{b}\}$	$\frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = \left[\frac{\partial c}{\partial b}\right] \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y}$	$\{b\mapsto c=\sqrt{b}\}$		
$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial b}\right] \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial c}\right] \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = 1\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + 1\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$	$\{(b,c)\mapsto f=b+c\}$	$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial b}\right] \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} + \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial c}\right] \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}$	$\{(b,c)\mapsto f=b+c\}$		

Backward Chain Rule



Backward Chain Rule

$$\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{m \in \operatorname{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_m} \times \left[\frac{\partial x_m}{\partial x_k}\right]^{99}$$
$$= \sum_{m \in \operatorname{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_m} \times \frac{\partial f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_k}$$



function
$$x_t = f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$$

for $k = s + 1, \dots, t$
 $| x_k = f_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$
return x_t

function
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial (x_1, \dots, x_s)}(x_1, \dots, x_s)$$

 $\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_t} = \mathrm{Id}_{n_t \times n_t}$
for $k = t - 1, t - 2, \dots, 1$
 $\left| \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{m \in \mathrm{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_m} \times \frac{\partial f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_k} \right|$
return $\left(\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_s} \right)$

Backward Chain Rule

$$\frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{k}} = \sum_{m \in \operatorname{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{m}} \times \left[\frac{\partial x_{m}}{\partial x_{k}}\right]^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{m \in \operatorname{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{m}} \times \frac{\partial f_{m}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m})}{\partial x_{k}}$$

$$x_{1} \cdots x_{k} \cdots x_{m} \cdots x_{t}$$

$$function \frac{\partial f}{\partial (x_{1}, \dots, x_{s})} (x_{1}, \dots, x_{s})$$

$$\frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{t}} = \operatorname{Id}_{n_{t} \times n_{t}}$$

$$for k = t - 1, t - 2, \dots, 1$$

$$\frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{k}} = \sum_{m \in \operatorname{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{m}} \times \frac{\partial f_{m}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{s})}{\partial x_{k}}$$

$$\frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{t}} = \operatorname{Id}_{n_{t} \times n_{t}}$$

$$\frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{t}} = \sum_{m \in \operatorname{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{m}} \times \frac{\partial f_{m}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{s})}{\partial x_{k}}$$

f

 \rightarrow needs to store all intermediate $(x_k)_k$ in memory.

Gradient Backpropagation

$$\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{m \in \text{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_m} \times \left[\frac{\partial x_m}{\partial x_k}\right]^{99}$$
$$= \sum_{m \in \text{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_m} \times \frac{\partial f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_k}$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_k}\right)^{\top}$$

$$x_1 \cdots x_k$$
 $x_m \cdots x_t$

If
$$n_t = 1$$
: $\nabla_{x_k} f = \left(\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_k}\right)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$

Back-propagation of gradients:

$$\nabla_{x_k} f = \sum_{m \in \mathrm{son}(k)} \left(\frac{\partial f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_k} \right)^\top \nabla_{x_m} f$$

Gradient Backpropagation

$$\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{m \in \operatorname{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_m} \times \left[\frac{\partial x_m}{\partial x_k} \right]^{\mathfrak{N}}$$
$$= \sum_{m \in \operatorname{son}(k)} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_m} \times \frac{\partial f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_k}$$
$$x_1 \cdots x_k$$
$$x_m \cdots$$
$$x_k$$
$$f = \left(\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_k} \right)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$$

Back-propagation of gradients:

$$\nabla_{x_k} f = \sum_{m \in \mathrm{son}(k)} \left(\frac{\partial f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_k} \right)^\top \nabla_{x_m} f$$

Assuming $\begin{cases} |\operatorname{parent}(k)| = O(1), \\ n_k = O(1) \end{cases}$ \longrightarrow Complexity: $O(K) \ll$ finite differences.





Г

$$f(x,y) = y \log(x) + \sqrt{y \log(x)}$$

$$x \quad y \quad a = b = c = f = b + c$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial f} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial c} \right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} 1 \qquad \{c \mapsto f = b + c\}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial c} \left[\frac{\partial c}{\partial b} \right] + \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial c} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} 1 \qquad \{b \mapsto c = \sqrt{b}, b \mapsto f = b + c\}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial a} \right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} y \qquad \{a \mapsto b = ya\}$$

$$f(x, y) = y \log(x) + \sqrt{y} \log(x)$$

$$x \quad y \quad a = b = c = f = b + c$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial f} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial c} \right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} 1 \qquad \{c \mapsto f = b + c\}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial c} \left[\frac{\partial c}{\partial b} \right] + \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial c} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} 1 \qquad \{b \mapsto c = \sqrt{b}, b \mapsto f = b + c\}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial a} \right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} y \qquad \{a \mapsto b = ya\}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} \right] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} a \qquad \{y \mapsto b = ya\}$$

$$f(x,y) = y \log(x) + \sqrt{y \log(x)}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} x & y \\ \hline \\ \partial f \\ \partial h \\$$

Differentiating Composition of Functions



 $\partial f(x) = A_t \times A_{t-1} \times \dots A_2 \times A_1$

 $A_k \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \partial f_k(x_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k \times n_{k-1}}$

Differentiating Composition of Functions



 $\partial f(x) = A_t \times A_{t-1} \times \dots A_2 \times A_1$

 $A_k \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \partial f_k(x_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k \times n_{k-1}}$





$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{for } k = 1, \dots, t - 1, t \\ & \\ x_k = f_k(x_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1}) \\ f(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} L(x_t) \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{for } k = 1, \dots, t-1, t \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ f(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} L(x_t) \end{array} \end{array}$$

Example: perceptrons $f_k(x_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1}) = \rho(\theta_{k-1}x_{k-1})$





$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{proof} & \text{for } k = 1, \dots, t-1, t \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} x_k = f_k(x_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1}) \\ f(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} L(x_t) \end{array} \right| & \text{for } k = t, t-1, \dots, 1 \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} \nabla_{x_k} f = \nabla L(x_t) \\ \text{for } k = t, t-1, \dots, 1 \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} \nabla_{x_{k-1}} f = [\partial_x f_k(x_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1})]^\top \nabla_{x_k} f \\ \nabla_{\theta_{k-1}} f = [\partial_\theta f_k(x_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1})]^\top (\nabla_{x_k} f) \end{array} \right| \end{array} \right|$$

Example: perceptrons $f_k(x_{k-1}, \theta_{k-1}) = \rho(\theta_{k-1}x_{k-1})$







Recurrent Architecture



for
$$k = 1, \dots, t - 1, t$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} f(\theta) \\ x_k \\ x_{k-1}, \theta \end{vmatrix}$$

Recurrent Architecture



for
$$k = 1, \dots, t - 1, t$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} f(\theta) \\ f(\theta) \\ f(x_t) \end{vmatrix}$$

Example: residual networks $h(x, \theta) = x + \theta_2 \rho(\theta_1 x)$



Recurrent Architecture



$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{x_{t}}f=\nabla L(x_{t}) \\ \end{array} \\ f(\theta)=L(x_{t}) \end{array} \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{x_{t}}f=\nabla L(x_{t}) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{x_{k-1}}f=[\partial_{x}h(x_{k-1},\theta)]^{\top}\nabla_{x_{k}}f \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{\theta}f=\sum_{k}[\partial_{\theta}(x_{k-1},\theta)]^{\top}\nabla_{x_{k}}f \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$$

Example: residual networks $h(x, \theta) = x + \theta_2 \rho(\theta_1 x)$



Optimal control: $\dot{x}(t) = u(x(t), \theta)$ $f(\theta) = L(x(T))$





Discretization: Optimal control: $x_{k+1} = x_k + \tau u(x_k, \theta)$ $t = \tau k$ $\dot{x}(t) = u(x(t), \theta)$ $f(\theta) = L(x_K)$ $f(\theta) = L(x(T))$ $z_k \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \nabla_{x_k} f(\theta)$ $z_{k-1} = z_k + \tau [\partial u(x_k, \theta)]^\top z_k$ $\nabla_{\theta} f(\theta) = \sum [\partial_{\theta} h(x_{k-1}, \theta)]^{\top} z_k$ x_K x(T) $\dot{x}(t)$

Optimal control: $\dot{x}(t) = u(x(t), \theta)$ $f(\theta) = L(x(T))$	$t = \tau k$	Discretization: $x_{k+1} = x_k + \tau u(x_k, \theta)$ $f(\theta) = L(x_K)$		
$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \nabla_{x(t)} f(\theta) \\ \dot{z}(t) &= -[\partial_x u(x(t),\theta)]^\top z(t) \end{aligned}$		$z_k \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \nabla_{x_k} f(\theta)$ $z_{k-1} = z_k + \tau [\partial u(x_k, \theta)]^\top z_k$		
$\nabla_{\theta} f(\theta) = \int_{0}^{T} [\partial_{\theta} f(x(t), \theta)]^{\top} z(t) dt$	Хĸ	$\nabla_{\theta} f(\theta) = \sum_{k} [\partial_{\theta} h(x_{k-1}, \theta)]^{\top} z_{k}$		
x_1 $x(t)$ $x(t)$				

Curse of auto-diff: memory grows with #iterations K.

Curse of auto-diff: memory grows with #iterations K. Generic method: checkpointing.

Curse of auto-diff: memory grows with #iterations K. Generic method: checkpointing.

Alternative: build "invertible" architectures.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{y}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ v(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$



Curse of auto-diff: memory grows with #iterations K. Generic method: checkpointing.

Alternative: build "invertible" architectures.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(y) \\ v(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

inverse
$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} u(y) \\ v(x) \end{pmatrix}$$



Curse of auto-diff: memory grows with #iterations K. Generic method: checkpointing.

Alternative: build "invertible" architectures.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(y) \\ v(x) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{leapfrog}}_{\text{Verlet}} \begin{cases} x_{k+1} = x_k + \tau u(y_k) \\ y_{k+1} = y_k + \tau v(x_{k+1}) \end{cases}$$
inverse
$$(\dot{x}) \quad (u(u))$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{y}} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} u(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ v(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$





Curse of auto-diff: memory grows with #iterations K. Generic method: checkpointing.

Alternative: build "invertible" architectures.





 $\int_{\beta} = \frac{4}{3}$

 $x(heta) \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{E}(x, heta) \quad f(heta) \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} L(x(heta))$



$$x(heta) \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{E}(x, heta) \quad f(heta) \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} L(x(heta)) _x$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \tau \nabla \mathcal{E}(x_k, \theta) \iff \text{ResNet}$$

 \rightarrow Memory exploses with #iterations.

 $\dot{x}_t = -\nabla \mathcal{E}(x_t, \theta)$

 \rightarrow Flow is non-conservative, $t \mapsto x_t$ ill-posed.





 \rightarrow Flow is non-conservative, $t \mapsto x_t$ ill-posed.

Fixe

$$x_{0} \qquad x_{t}$$

ed point equation:
$$\nabla_x \mathcal{E}(x(\theta), \theta) = 0$$

Fixed point equation: $\nabla_x \mathcal{E}(x(\theta), \theta) = 0$

Implicit function theorem:

$$\nabla f(\theta) = -\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{E}}{\partial x \partial \theta}(x(\theta), \theta)\right)^{\top} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{E}}{\partial^2 x}(x(\theta), \theta)\right)^{-1}\right] \nabla L(x(\theta))$$

$$n \times n$$
linear system

Example: Sinkhorn

Entropic optimal transport: between $(\theta_1, \theta_2), K \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} e^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon}}$ $x(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{x} \mathcal{E}(x, \theta) = -\langle \theta_1, \log(x_1) \rangle - \langle \theta_2, \log(x_2) \rangle + \langle Kx_1, x_2 \rangle$

Example: Sinkhorn

Entropic optimal transport: between $(\theta_1, \theta_2), K \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} e^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon}}$ $x(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_x \mathcal{E}(x, \theta) = -\langle \theta_1, \log(x_1) \rangle - \langle \theta_2, \log(x_2) \rangle + \langle Kx_1, x_2 \rangle$



Example: Sinkhorn

Entropic optimal transport: between $(\theta_1, \theta_2), K \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} e^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon}}$ $x(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{x} \mathcal{E}(x, \theta) = -\langle \theta_1, \log(x_1) \rangle - \langle \theta_2, \log(x_2) \rangle + \langle Kx_1, x_2 \rangle$



Computing $[\partial x(\theta)]^\top : \checkmark$ back-propagation through Sinkhorn. Hessian inversion (implicit function)

Take Home Messages

- is not just formal or numerical calculus ;
- is not just the chain rule ;
- is not just the adjoint state method ;
- is not just backpropagation ;



Take Home Messages

- is not just formal or numerical calculus ;
- is not just the chain rule ;
- is not just the adjoint state method ;
- is not just backpropagation ;
- is time efficient ;



- is memory inefficient ... but this can be mitigated:
 - Checkpointing,
 - Implicit function theorem,
 - Reversing the flow.